REMARKS

The Amendments

Claims 1, 2 and 28 have been cancelled without prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to file a continuation application to obtain allowance of these claims.

No new matter has been added

The Rejection of Claim 1 Under Section 102(b)

Claims 1 has been rejected under Section 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by O'Leary (US 2004/0094485). The Office Action offers no comment on this rejection.

Claim 1 has been cancelled. In view of this amendment, withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 under Section 102(b) is respectfully requested.

The Rejection of Claim 2 Under Section 103(a)

Claim 2 has been rejected under Section 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over O'Leary (US 2004/0094485) in view of Nguyen et al. (US 6,669,849). The Office Action states:

'849 uses ion exchange technology to decontaminate organic carbon from wastewater. It describes the use of brine (a concentrated inorganic salt solution) to regenerate the resin. '485 describes a technology to remove dissolved organic carbon from concentrated inorganic salt solutions. It would have been obvious to have used the '485 technology to decontaminate the regenerant of DOC because '485 technology is adapted for removal of DOC from concentrated inorganic salt solutions.

Claim 2 has been cancelled. In view of this amendment, withdrawal of the rejection of claim 2 under Section 103(a) is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/551,578

Office Action dated October 16, 2009

Response to Office Action dated January 15, 2009

Claim 28 Rejection Under Section 112, Second Paragraph

Claim 28 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for allegedly failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Office Action states: "Absent a definition of the

flow or mass processing rate, the term 'industrial scale process' is unreasonably

imprecise."

Claim 28 has been cancelled. In view of this amendment, withdrawal of the rejection of

claim 28 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments, it is submitted this application is in condition for

allowance. Passage to issuance is respectfully requested. It is believed that this submission does not require the payment of a fee. If this is incorrect, please deduct from

Deposit Account No. 07-1969 the appropriate fee for this submission and any extension

of time required.

Respectfully submitted,

/ellenwinner/

Ellen P. Winner Reg. No. 28,547

GREENLEE, WINNER AND SULLIVAN, P.C. 4875 East Pearl Circle, Suite 200

Boulder, CO 80301 Telephone (303) 499-8080 Facsimile: (303) 499-8089

Email: usptomail@greenwin.com Attorney Docket No.: 109-05

8